Temporal existential constructions in Romance Gemma Rigau, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Departament de Filologia Catalana Edifici B Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona)

Phone: 93-581.13.68

E-mail: Gemma.Rigau@uab.es

Temporal existential constructions in Romance

ABSTRACT

This article offers an analysis of the lexical properties and syntactic behavior of temporal existential constructions, a kind of construction not often discussed in the literature on Romance languages. The relationship between the temporal existential verbs and other existential verbs, like locative and deontic verbs, is examined. Two kinds of temporal existential constructions can be distinguished: temporal circumstantial constructions, which act as a temporal circumstantial adjunct, and temporal presentational constructions, which precedea finite clause headed by a complementizer. Both constructions provide an excellent opportunity to study the properties of the left periphery categories.

* For comments and suggestions, I am grateful to my colleagues in the Grup de Gramàtica Teòrica of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, especially to L. Amadas, Z. Borràs, J. Mateu, J. Mascaró, C. Picallo, T. Vallverdú and M. T. Ynglès. I thank also X. Blanco, V. Longa, G. Lorenzo, I. Pires Pereira, and J. Suïls for providing me some data. Thanks to M. Kennedy for proofreading the manuscript. I am also grateful to the organizers and audience of the Going Romance Symposium 1999, Leiden University. This research was supported by DGES: PB96-1199-C04-02; Generalitat de Catalunya: 1999SGR00113; CREL-9.

- 1. Introduction. The article illustrates the lexical properties and syntactic behavior of some Romance temporal existential verbs. The relationship between temporal existential verbs and other existential verbs, like locative and deontic verbs, will be examined. These kinds of verbs act as host verbs, the real predicate being a static locative preposition. Romance temporal existential verbs are light verbs, such as French <u>avoir</u>, Portuguese <u>haver</u>, Occitan <u>aver</u>, Old Spanish <u>haber</u>; Catalan <u>fer</u>, Portuguese <u>fazer</u>, Spanish <u>hacer</u>, Italian <u>fare</u>, Sardinian <u>fákere</u>, Occitan <u>hèr</u>, and so on, as exemplified in 1.
 - (1) a. Pierre est arrivé il y a une heure French
 Peter is arrived expl. cl. has one hour
 'Peter arrived one hour ago'
 - b. Fará amanhã oito dias que partiu Portuguese
 will-make tomorrow eight days that (she/he) left
 'As of tomorrow, it will have been eight days since (s)he left'

The article is also concerned with the properties of the left periphery categories and the split of the complementizer layer proposed by Rizzi (1997, 1999).

- 2. Romance temporal existential constructions. Temporal existential constructions express the setting or location of a temporal point at a particular value on a scale of temporal magnitude. Moreover, this temporal point is always past with respect to the speech act or with respect to the point denoted by a temporal expression appearing in the construction. Consider the sentences in 2.
 - (2) a. Le 9 de novembre de 1989 le mur de Berlin tomba: <u>aujourd'hui il</u> y a exactement dix ans et un mois (de ça) French

'The Berlin Wall fell on November 9, 1989, exactly ten years and one month ago today'

b. El 9 de novembre de 1989 va caure el mur de Berlín. <u>Avui fa exactament</u> deu

<u>anys i un mes</u> Catalan

'The Berlin Wall fell on November 9, 1989, exactly ten years and one month ago today'

Roughly speaking, in the sentences in italics in 2, the predicate relates the deictic element French <u>aujourd'hui</u> / Catalan <u>avui</u> 'today' with a particular value or point on a scale of values or units of temporal magnitude, namely: the measure phrase French <u>dix ans et un mois</u>

/ Catalan <u>deu anys i un mes</u> 'ten years and a month'. The French temporal existential construction in 2a is formed with the verb <u>avoir</u> 'have', whereas the Catalan one in 2b is formed with the verb <u>fer</u> 'make/do'. I will begin my discussion with constructions that feature <u>avoir</u>, exemplified in 2a. It is easy to show that this construction follows the basic pattern of other existential sentences in languages like French, Occitan or even Old Spanish. Compare the locative presentational sentences in 3 and the temporal existential constructions in italics in 4.

- (3) a. Il y a du vin chaud French expl. cl. has part. wine hot 'There is some hot wine'
 - b. Que i a vin caud Bearnese Occitan that cl. has wine hot 'There is some hot wine'
 - c. Hay vino caliente Spanish has-cl. wine hot 'There is some hot wine'
- (4) a. <u>Il</u> <u>y</u> a <u>deux jours</u> (de cela) French expl. cl. has two days (from this)

 'It was two days ago'
 - b. Que vedoi a Pèir, <u>ièr i avó quate meses</u> Bearnese Occitan that (I) saw to Peter, yesterday cl. had four months
 'As of yesterday, it had been four months since I last saw Peter'
 - c. <u>No hay una hora</u> que le dejé bueno, sano y salvo Old Spanish (Corominas-Pascual 1980)

not has-cl. one hour that (I) him let well, healthy and safe 'I left him safe and sound less than an hour ago'

Constructions in 3 and 4 several some properties: The verb is the existential verb <u>avoir/aver/haber</u>, the oblique (or dative) clitic <u>y</u> appears in the construction, and all of them are impersonal sentences, although only the French sentences show the expletive pronoun <u>il</u>, French being a non-null subject language. The verb in these sentences is always conjugated in the 3rd person, which is the morphological expression of the lack of person feature in languages like Romance.

Following Freeze (1992), Hale-Keyser (1997:fn.7), Kayne (1993), and others, I assume that the verb <u>avoir/aver/haber</u> has its source (diachronically and synchronically) in a

static locative preposition, as shown in (5c). It is a light verb in the sense that it acts as the host of the real predicate, which is a covert preposition. This preposition can be defined as a preposition of central coincidence. According to Hale (1986) and Hale-Keyser (1993, 1997), a preposition of central coincidence is a preposition that expresses the relation whereby some entity is associated or in contact with another entity, as in 5b. It defines a relation of spatial contiguity between one entity (i.e. a place) and another entity (i.e. a thing, a substance, a measure, etc.). The meaning of the abstract preposition in 5 would be defined more or less as 'with' (as proposed by Hale-Keyser (1997, 1998), Guéron (1994), Longa et al. (1995). Cf. Hoekstra (1994)). The complement of the preposition incorporated into the verb *avoir* in 5a is the NP *du vin*. The subject required by the preposition to complete the interrelation between the two entities is the clitic \underline{y} , a clitic expressing a locative circumstance (Hale-Keyser 1997).²

(5) a. Il y a du vin expl. cl. has part. wine 'There is some wine'b. [P N[PN]]c. avoir: V[PV] French

My proposal is that the incorporation of the central coincidence preposition into the verb <u>avoir/aver/haber</u> is reflected in its lexical entry –schematically represented in 5c–, as idiosyncratic information provided by the verb itself for operations of the computational system (see Mateu-Rigau 1999). Thus, the existential verbs <u>avoir</u> and <u>haber</u> are always ergative verbs in the sense that they do not select an external argument.³ Regarding the temporal constructions in 4, my claim is that the element which relates the clitic \underline{y} to a measure phrase is the central coincidence preposition incorporated into the verb. In other words, the preposition defines a spatial contiguity, or correspondence, between a point in time, represented by the clitic \underline{y} , and a point or value on a scale of temporal magnitude. Measure nouns like days, years, meters or gallons divide up a magnitude into subunits. This magnitude is temporal in the constructions studied here. The measure phrase merges with quantifiers (i.e. <u>deux ans</u> 'two years'). In fact, the measure phrase is quantified when the functional elements are added to the derivation. The quantifier may be covert, as in Spanish tiempo ha 'long ago'.

As Rizzi (1990) showed, measure phrases are non-referential NPs. Because of this, they can neither be modified by adjectives or relative clauses, nor coappear with specific determiners, as shown in 6(a-b). However, emphatic modifiers like <u>bien</u> can be accepted, as

in 6c. On the other hand, 6d shows that these constructions, like other measure phrases, manifest negative island effects (see Rizzi (1990), Bosque (1998)).

(6) a. *Il y a ces deux jours, Marie est arrivée French expl. cl. has these two days Mary is arrived

b. *I a tres dias cauds, vedí a Pèir Bearnese Occitan cl. has three days hot, (I) saw to Peter

c. Il y a bien longtemps (de cela) French expl. cl. has well long time (from this)
'It's been a good while (since then)'

d. *Quant(s) de dias no i a pas? Bearnese Occitan how many of days not cl. has neg.

Just as in other constructions with measure phrases, such as those in 7, the predicate, namely the preposition incorporated into the light verb <u>avoir/fer</u>, expresses a spatial correspondence between its specifier, <u>Marie/la Maria</u> and the quantified unit of time measurement.

(7) a. Marie a dix ans French

Mary has 10 years 'Mary is 10 (years old)'

b. La Maria fa deu anys
the Mary makes ten years

Catalan

'Mary is 10 (years old)'

In French and Occitan temporal constructions, the specifier of the relation defined by the preposition of central coincidence is the locative clitic $\underline{y/i}$, a clitic that expresses a locative or temporal point.⁴ In other Romance languages the pronominal element in temporal constructions is covert, a phonologically empty pronoun. This is the case with Spanish and Portuguese, which have no overt locative clitic, but it is also the case with Catalan, a language that has an overt locative clitic but rarely uses it to express temporal location. The covert clitic that I postulate in Romance temporal (and locative) constructions will be represented by $\langle Y \rangle$ (= covert locative determiner).⁵

Following Brunot (1926: 289f), I propose that the impersonal existential sentences in 8 have an overt or covert locative/dative clitic, which acts as a clitic subject of the sentence. The French sentence in 8a is a locative sentence, those in 8b are deontic existential sentences and 8c is a temporal construction.

- (8) a. Il y a du vin chaud French expl. cl. has part. wine hot 'There is some hot wine'
 - b. Il y faut des chaises / Il faut des chaises expl. cl. is-necessary part. chairs / expl. is-necessary part. chairs 'Some chairs are necessary here'
 - c. Il y aura trois mois dans quelques jours expl. cl. will-have three months in some days 'In a few days, it will have been three months'

Inspired by Torrego (1992, 1998), Kayne (1993), and Longa et al. (1998), I am going to label the clitic <u>y</u> as a D/P (that is, an 'adverbial pronoun', following the terminology of Catalan traditional grammarians. See also Bosque (1989: 199s); Schroten (1996)). The determiner dimension of the clitic allows it to be selected as an argument. Like the other subject clitics used in deontic existential sentences in Catalan and Spanish, the spatiotemporal pronoun <<u>y/hi</u>> may be doubled. It is doubled by an element expressing temporal reference, such as a deictic adverb like <u>demain</u>, <u>próximamente</u> or a DP or a PP expressing a date or a point in the calendar (<u>le première janvier</u>). See 8c, where the clitic <u>y</u> is doubled by <u>dans quelques jours</u>. Furthermore, 9a exemplifies the doubling of a dative subject clitic in a Catalan deontic sentence, whereas 9b shows the doubling of a locative subject clitic in a Catalan locative sentence.

- (9) a. Li caldrà ajut a en Pere Catalan himdat will-be-necessary help to the Peter 'Peter will need some help'
 - b. Hi ha vi fresc a la neveracl. has wine cool in the refrigerator'There is some cool wine in the refrigerator'

Following an analysis of clitic doubling constructions attributed to Torrego (see Urigareka 1995), I assume that the internal structure of the dative in 10a is roughly that in 11a, where the PP <u>a en Pere</u> is in the specifier position (cf. Torrego (1998:143s)). Similarly to the dative PP in 10a, the temporal element <u>dans quelques jours</u> in 8c is generated at the specifier position of the phrase headed by the locative pronoun <u>y</u>, as shown in 10b.

- (10) a. DP/PP[PP a en Pere] D/P i]
 - b. DP/PP[[dans quelques jours] [D/P y]]

Examples in (11) show that the PP <u>dans quelques jours</u> is not directly related with the main clause. The ungrammatical sentence in 11b shows that the doubling temporal element is not licensed if it occurs alone in the sentence. Actually, the past tense of the main clause is licensed, because the temporal adjunct in italics in 11a globally expresses a past meaning.

(11) a. Jean est parti <u>il y aura trois mois dans quelques jours</u>

John is left expl. cl. will-have three months in some days

'In a few days it will have been three months since John left'

b. *Jean est parti <u>dans quelques jours</u>

John is left in some days

There are few verbs in the same class as temporal existential <u>avoir</u>. One of them is the verb <u>faire/fer/hacer</u>. Currently, the use of the verb <u>avoir</u> is losing ground in several Romance languages or dialects, such as Aranese Occitan. In Portuguese, <u>haver</u> coexists with <u>fazer</u> in temporal constructions, whereas in Spanish and Catalan, <u>hacer/fer</u> has gradually ousted <u>haber/haver</u>. Even in French, where <u>avoir</u> enjoys good health, the verb <u>faire</u> may be used in its place in the colloquial language. See 12.

(12) a. Il est parti <u>ça fait dix ans</u>
He is left this makes ten years
'He left ten years ago'

b. Pronto hará diez años Spanish

soon (it) will-make ten years

'It will soon be ten years'

c. Ahir va fer deu anys Catalan

yesterday made ten years

'As of yesterday, it had been ten years'

The Romance verb <u>hacer/fazer/fer</u> may be used in temporal existential constructions, because it may act as a light verb. In other words, in some Romance languages, besides the causative verb <u>hacer/fazer/fer</u>, we find a form that has lost the causative property for diachronical reasons. Therefore, this form is unable to project an external argument. Without the expression of causative or source relation, this form becomes stative and means a locative relation. The different forms of the verb <u>fer</u> in Catalan are shown in 13. In 13a <u>fer</u> is a causative verb. The construction expresses a source relation that can be paraphrased as 'Peter is the originator of a process in which the laundry becomes clean'. However in 13(b-c) there is no causative meaning and the verb is just the host of a preposition of central coincidence:

v[PV]. The verb in 13(b-c) expresses a relation of contiguity between a spatio-temporal element ($\langle Y \rangle$ /ara) and an entity (fred/deu anys). The sentence in 13b expresses an atmospheric state and 13c means a relation of correspondence between a temporal point (the time of utterance) and a measure phrase. The last construction measures a lapse of time in relation to a temporal point (now).

```
(13) a. En Pere fa la roba neta (causative verb <u>fer</u>) Catalan
Peter makes the laundry clean
'Peter makes the laundry clean'
b. Hi fa fred [[<u>hi</u>] [ V[P V] <u>fred</u> ]]
cl. makes cold
'It is cold'
c. Ara fa deu anys [[ <u>ara</u> <Y>] [ V[P V] <u>deu anys</u> ]]
now makes ten years
'It's been ten years now'
```

The encoding of the central coincidence preposition into the verb <u>hacer/fer/fazer</u> is reflected in its lexical entry as idiosyncratic information provided by the verb itself for the operations of the computational system. Hence, no external argument will be licensed with such a verb.⁶

3. Temporal circumstantial constructions vs. temporal presentational constructions. Although locative, deontic and temporal existential constructions share the basic property of having a central coincidence preposition incorporated into the verb in their lexical entry, some differences arise when we attend their syntactic behavior and the way they are used in discourse. Impersonal locative sentences show a relation between an entity or a place and another entity, as in 8a. Deontic existential constructions express the existence of the need for some entity in a place or person, as in 8b. The lexical entry for deontic constructions is more complex than for locative constructions, and they coappear not only with locative subject pronouns but with personal dative pronouns (see Rigau 1999). That is to say, a need may be located in a place or in a person. Finally, temporal existential constructions relate a place, which is interpreted as a point in time, to a measure of temporal magnitude. Because of this, they are generally used in order to establish the temporal frame of a particular situation. This is the reason for their appearance in adjunct positions like other temporal circumstantials or temporal frame-adverbials. Temporal existential constructions establish the temporal scenario for states or events. Therefore, temporal existential constructions diverge from other existential constructions in one respect: they rarely constitute autonomous sentences. When they do, they strongly depend on the preceding discourse, as in 2. On the other hand, the

construction can accept an adjunct phrase (<u>de cela</u>, <u>de su huida</u>, etc.) that expresses the event referred to in the preceding discourse, as shown in 14.

- (14) a. Il y a longtemps de tout cela French (Henry 1968: 18) expl. cl. has long time from all that 'All that was a long time ago'
 - b. Il y avait quelques jours de ça expl. cl. had some days from that 'It had been some days since then'
 - c. De su huida hace casi diez años Spanish from his escape makes almost ten years 'It's been almost ten years since his escape'
 - d. Desde que te fuiste hace casi diez años since that (you) yourself left makes almost ten years 'It's been almost ten years since you left'

Interestingly, temporal existential constructions may appear as a temporal circumstantial adjunct, as in 15, or with a finite clause headed by the complementizer que, as in 16. On the inspiration of authors like Henry (1968), Porto Dapena (1983) and Sáez (1990), I will call the constructions in italics in 15. <u>Temporal circumstantial constructions</u>, whereas those in 16 will be called <u>temporal presentational constructions</u>.

- (15) a. <u>Il</u> <u>y</u> a trois ans, il est parti expl. cl. has three years he is left 'He left three years ago'
 - b. Votre frère, <u>il y a quelques années</u>, est venu chez moi un soir Your brother expl. cl. has several years is come home me one evening 'Several years ago your brother came over one evening'
 - c. Era a Girona, <u>ara fa tres hores</u> Catalan
 (I) was in Girona now makes three hours
 'I was in Girona three hours ago'
 - d. Escribió poemas <u>hace tres semanas</u> Spanish (he) wrote poems makes three weeks 'He wrote poems three weeks ago'
- (16) a. <u>Il</u> <u>y</u> a <u>deux semaines</u> qu'il travaille French expl. cl. has two weeks that he works
 'He has been working for two weeks'

b. <u>Fa</u> <u>dues setmanes</u> que treballa makes two weeks that (he) works 'He has been working for two weeks'

Spanish

Catalan

c. <u>Hace dos semanas</u> que trabaja
 makes two weeks that (he) works
 'He has been working for two weeks'

Portuguese

d. <u>Há duas semanas</u> que trabalha
 has two weeks that (he) works
 'He has been working for two weeks'

The source of the special behavior of temporal existential constructions, in contrast with those that are locative or deontic, is in the very nature of the arguments of the predicate, because they express a relation between a temporal point and a unit of time measurement. These temporal constructions are able to set the temporal frame for an event or a state expressed by the clause they coappear with. As shown in 15, temporal circumstantials do not occupy a rigid position. However, the temporal construction in 16 has a single fixed position and it is connected by the complementizer *que* to the clause that has the main assertive content of the sentence. Moreover, the relation between the temporal circumstantial and the other clause in the sentence is not the same in 15 as in 16. In 15 the temporal constructions act as a past temporal adjunct, similar to the adverbial phrase <u>yesterday</u>. And when they are preposed, they are able to provide a past temporal frame to the main clause, which shows a past tense. However, the sentences in 16 show different aspectual-temporal properties. The temporal presentational construction does not act as a past frame-adverbial. Because of this, the tense of the clause headed by the complementizer <u>que</u> may be present, past or future.

3.1. Temporal circumstantial constructions. The circumstantials of time in 15 may act as what Parsons (1990:209) calls <u>frame adverbials</u>, namely 'locative or temporal phrases that set a context within which the rest of the sentence is to be interpreted.' They may appear in a left or right peripheral position set off from the rest of the clause by autonomous intonation, as in 15a and 15c. They may also appear in parenthetical position; e.g. in 15b. As regards the temporal circumstantial in 15d, I agree with Cinque (1997:40f) that it is below the VP node, just as with other circumstantial phrases of place, time, manner, etc. As a result, it does not occupy the specifier position of a functional projection above the VP. It is probably in the specifier of a VP shell (Chomsky (1995:333), Cinque (1997:40f)). Circumstantial phrases can be seen as predicates of events (or of VPs) (see Parsons (1990), Cinque (1997:41)). Its predicate role accounts for the fact that the main verb in 15 is a past verb. Because these circumstantial phrases express a point of time in the past, the situation

expressed by the main clause must be in a past tense. However, the verbs in 15 can manifest a perfective or imperfective grammatical aspect.

We can now raise the question of how the relation between the temporal circumstantial phrase and the main clause is established. In other words, we must decide which element is the head of the temporal circumstantial phrases in 15. My conclusion is that the head of the phrase is a preposition, as in 17, where a temporal preposition heads the circumstantial phrase in italics.

(17) a. Estoy enfermo desde ayer hizo ocho días Spanish (I) am sick since yesterday made eight days 'As of yesterday, I had been sick for eight days' Catalan b. No el veig (des) de fa tres anys not him (I) see since makes three years 'I have not seen him for three years' c. Hasta hace unas tres horas estuvo conmigo Spanish till makes some three hours (she/he) was with me '(S)he was with me until three hours ago' d. Il était là jusqu'à il y a cinq minutes French he was there till expl. cl. has five minutes 'He was there until five minutes ago'

My proposal is that the circumstantial phrases in 15 and 18 are PPs like those in 17. However, the preposition heading the temporal construction in 15 and 18 is a covert central coincidence preposition.

(18) a. Juan se fue <u>mañana hará tres meses</u> Spanish

John himself went tomorrow will-make three months

'As of tomorrow it will have been three months since John left'

b. [pp [p e] [[pp/pp <u>mañana [p/p <Y>]] [hará tres meses</u>]]]

Therefore, a possible paraphrase of a sentence such as 18a would be 'John left in the past at a temporal point which is equivalent to the measure of three months with respect to tomorrow.' The structure we assume for the temporal circumstantial construction in italics in (18a) is schematically represented in 18b. According to this structure the adjunct has a PP status. See Sáez 1990, Fernández Soriano-Táboes (1999) for other analyses of these constructions as PPs.

The punctual temporal meaning of the temporal existential construction is due to the covert preposition that heads the circumstantial phrase. This covert preposition selects a clause, probably a CP that selects an IP (see in 18b). Other functional categories may be visible in the subordinated clause, e.g. NegationP. A temporal circumstantial construction may be negated, as shown in 19.

- (19) a. Ha llovido no hará aún tres horas Spanish (it) has rained not will-make still three hours 'It rained not yet three hours ago'
 b. Il est parti il n' y a pas longtemps French He is left expl. not cl. has neg. long time 'He left not long ago'
- 3.2. Temporal presentational constructions. Consider the presentational constructions in 20.
 - (20) a. Il y aura deux ans qu'il est parti French expl. cl. will-have two years that he is left 'It will have been two years since he left'
 - b. Ayer hizo tres meses que ella se licenció Spanish yesterday made three months that she herself graduated'As of yesterday it had been three months since she graduated'
 - c. Feia un mes que no havia plogut Catalan made one month that not had rained
 'It hadn't rained in a month'
 - d. Que i a tres dias que soi malaut Bearnese Occitan that cl. has three days that (I) am ill 'I've been ill for three days'

Some of the properties shown by temporal presentational constructions are not shared by circumstantial constructions:

- a. Presentational constructions appear in the initial position of the sentence and are followed by the complementizer <u>que</u>, as shown by the ungrammatical constructions in 21.
 - (21) a. *Que ella se licenció ayer hizo tres días (cf. 20b)Spanish that she herself graduated yesterday made three days b. *Feia un mes no havia plogut (cf. 20c) Catalan

made one month not had rained

- b. Unlike the main clause that appears with a circumstantial of time, which is in past tense, the clause headed by the complementizer in 20 may be in present tense, as in 20d, past tense, as in 20a, or future tense, as shown in 22.
- (22) Demà a les set farà dues hores que l'estaran operant Catalan tomorrow at the seven will-make two hours that him/her (they) will-be operating
 - 'As of tomorrow at seven o'clock they will have been operating on him for two hours'
- c. In contrast with temporal circumstantial constructions, presentational constructions cannot coappear with other circumstantials in an apposition relationship of time, as shown in 23.
 - (23) a. Juan nació <u>el 6 de diciembre de 1996, el lunes hizo tres años</u> Spanish John was-born the 6 of December of 1996 the Monday made three years 'John was born December 6, 1996, three years ago last Monday'
 - b. *El 6 de diciembre de 1996, el lunes hizo tres años que nació Juan the 6 of December of 1996, the Monday made three years that was-born
- d. Moreover, presentational constructions cannot be cleft. Only temporal circumstantials can appear in a cleft structure, as in 24.

John

- (24) a. <u>C'était</u> il y a trois mois <u>qu'</u>il est parti (cf. Il est parti il y a trois mois) pron. was expl. cl. has three months that he is left French 'It was three months ago that he left'
 - b. *C'était il y a trois mois que qu'il est parti (cf. Il y a trois mois qu'il est parti)
 pron. was expl. cl. has three months that that he is left

All these syntactic differences can be explained if we assume that the existential construction, when acting as a presentational temporal construction, is in a peripheral position of a sentence whose main clause is the clause introduced by <u>que</u>. Note that a main clause connected to a preposed adjunct by the complementizer <u>que</u> is not an unusual phenomenon in Romance languages. Consider sentences in 25.

(25) a. Desde el año pasado que no llueve Spanish since the year passed that not (it) rains 'It hasn't rained since last year'

b. De l'abril que no el veig from the April that not him (I) see 'I haven't seen him since April'

c. Tot avui que plora all today that (he) cries '(S)he has been crying all day'

d. Sûrement que Paul serà là surely that Paul will-be there 'Paul will surely be there'

e. Sans doute qu'elle a été retardée without doubt that she has been held up 'She has no doubt been held up' Catalan

French (Le Goffic 1993:552)

These sentences are headed by a spatio-temporal adjunct, as in 25(a-c), or a modality adjunct, as in 25(d-e). The adjunct transmits its aspectual-temporal or modality properties to the clause through the complementizer que. Note that the present tense in 25(a-c) is not a deictic tense. In 25 it is obvious that the main clause is the clause headed by the complementizer que. The initial elements are adjuncts in a left peripheral position, i.e. <u>Desde el año pasado</u> in 25a identifies a period of time by marking its beginning point.

Considerable insight may be gained from relating the analysis of presentational existential constructions to the PPs or AdvPs in 25, and analyzing them as constructions in a left peripheral position connected to the main clause by the complementizer que. Nevertheless, before analyzing the temporal presentational constructions in 20 along the same lines as my analysis of the constructions in 25, a few words on an alternative analysis may be in order. Assuming that temporal presentational constructions involve the left periphery of the clause, we rule out the possibility that the clause headed by que is subordinate to the existential verb avoir or hacer (contrary to Porto Dapena (1983), Sáez (1990), Fernández Soriano-Táboas (1999), among others). If the clause headed by que in 20b were a subordinate clause, we would have to characterize what type of subordinate clause it is. It cannot be an argument of the existential verb, because there is no place for another argument in the argumental structure of this verb. Remember that we have qualified it as a light verb hosting a preposition, which acts as the real predicate, and which establishes an interrelation between an overt or covert locative clitic determiner and a measure phrase. On

the other hand, the clause headed by <u>que</u> in sentences like 20b cannot be a relative clause, because the measure phrase will not accept specific adjectives or relative clauses, as shown in 26a.⁷

(26) a. *Ayer hizo tres meses muy alegres Spanish yesterday made three months very happy

An alternative would be to analyze 20b analogous to the adjunct PP in 27.

- (27) a. Ayer hizo tres meses de su licenciatura Spanish yesterday made three months from her graduation'As of yesterday, it had been three months since his graduation'
 - b. Il y a trois mois de cela French expl. cl. has three months from that 'It was three months ago'

However, unlike other Romance languages, Spanish does not drop weak prepositions before the conjunction <u>que</u>, as shown in 28a. Therefore, 20b would have to be analogous to 28b, which is ungrammatical.⁸

- (28) a. Me olvidé de que ya se licenció Spanish
 (I) me forgot of that already herself (she) graduated
 'I had forgotten that she had already graduated'
 - b. *Ayer hizo tres meses de que ella se licenció yesterday made three months from that she herself graduated

Moreover, the impossibility of coordination between clauses headed by <u>que</u> and adjunct PPs expressing an event, like those in 25, shows that the clause headed by <u>que</u> and the adjunct PP do not have the same role within the sentence. See the ungrammatical sequences in 29.

- (29) a. *Ayer hizo tres meses de su licenciatura y que tú te caíste al río Spanish
 - yesterday made three months from her/his graduation and that you yourself fell in the river
 - b. *Hoy hace tres meses de la muerte de mi padre y que me operaron (Gómez Torrego (1999:2115)
 today makes three months from the death of my father and that me (they) operated-on

Note that coordination between a clause headed by <u>que</u> and another headed by <u>desde</u> is also ungrammatical, as shown in 30(a,b), in contrast with the grammaticality of 30c.

- (30) a. *Hace dos meses que se licenció y desde que me operaron Spanish makes two months that her/himself (she/he) graduated and since that me (they) operated-on
 - b. *Hace dos meses desde que me operaron y que se licenció makes two months since that me (they) operated-on and that her/himself (she/he) graduated
 - c. Hace dos meses que se licenció y que me operaron makes two months that her/himself (she/he) graduated and that me (they) operated-on

'It's been two months since she/he graduated and I had my operation'

The data shown in 26-30 suggest that the temporal existential construction, when acting as a presentational construction, is generated in a left peripheral position of a sentence, in the complementizer layer, and that the main verb is the verb of the clause headed by <u>que</u>. Similarly to the left peripheral elements in 25, the function of the temporal construction is to modify the temporal-aspectual properties of the sentence.

According to Rizzi (1997: 281), who explored the articulated structure of the complementizer layer of the sentence, the complementizer layer is typically headed by a free functional morpheme, and hosts topics and various operator-like elements such as interrogative and relative pronouns, focalized elements, etc. The articulated structure for the complementizer system in Rizzi (1997) is reproduced in 31a. In a new version of the complementizer system, a Modifier node is added, as shown in 31b.

According to Rizzi (1997:285f), the Force-Finiteness system expresses the selectional relations between a complementizer system and the immediately higher and lower structural systems. Rizzi (1997:283) regards 'the complementizer system as the interface between a propositional content (expressed by IP) and the superordinate structure (a higher clause or, possibly, the articulation of discourse).' The node Force expresses the fact that a sentence is a question, a declarative, etc. Force is expressed sometimes by overt morphological encoding on the head, for example, in Bearnese Occitan, as shown in 32.

- (32) a. Que i a tres dias que ne lo vesi pas Bearnese Occitan that cl. has three days that not him (I) see neg.

 'I haven't seen him for three days'
 - b. <u>E</u> vòs vin?comp. (you) want wineDo you want some wine?

The elements in italics are the overt realisation of a declarative and interrogative head, respectively. According to Rizzi (1997:288), 'if the topic-focus field is activated, it will inevitably be 'sandwiched' in between force and finiteness, as these two specifications must terminate the complementizer system upward and downward, in order to meet the different selectional requirements and properly insert the complementizer system in the structure.' The node Top defines a kind of 'higher predication', a predication within the complementizer system. However, phrases in the specifier of a left periphery node do not always receive a Topic-Comment meaning or a Focus meaning. Because of this and other reasons, Rizzi (1999) redefines the typology positions in the left periphery of the clause by adding a Modifier node. See his example in 33a, where <u>last year</u> is in the specifier position of an empty Modifier node. Mod node causes minimality effects, as shown in 33b. The Wh-phrase cannot move to the specifier of Mod.

(33) a. I think [that [last year Modo [she read many books]] (Rizzi 1999) b. *How many books did [last year Modo [she t read t]]

My proposal is that temporal presentational constructions and temporal circumstantial constructions are in the specifier position of the Mod head, as represented schematically in 34b and 35b.

- (34) a. Que i a tres dias <u>que</u> ne lo vesi pas

 Bearnese Occitan

 that cl. has three days that not him (I) see neg

 'I haven't seen him for three days'

 b. [ForceP que [ModP [i a tres dias] ModO [FinP que [IP ne lo vesi pas]]]]
- (35) a. Hace tres días, vi a Pedro Spanish makes three days (I) saw to Peter
 'I saw Peter three days ago'
 b. [ForceP [ModP [PP e [hace tres días]] ModO [FinP [IP vi a Pedro]]]]

According to Rizzi (1997:283), the information expressed by Finiteness faces the inside, the content of the IP embedded under it. Finiteness reflects certain properties of the verbal system of the clause. For instance, it is responsible for the co-occurrence of <u>that</u> and a tensed verb, of <u>for</u> and an infinitive in English. Thus, Fin node can manifest temporal properties of the IP, mood distinctions, agreement properties, etc. On the basis of Rizzi's analysis, I suggest that Fin may also manifest aspectual properties of the IP system. It is generally assumed that Aspect is a temporal notion (Smith (1991), Hoekstra (1992), Dermidache-Uribe-Etxebarria (1998), among others). Hence, the elements in italics in 36 may be analyzed as the morphological realisation of the aspectual and temporal properties of the IP selected by Fin node.

(36) a. Que i a tres dias <u>que</u> ne lo vesi pas (= 34a) Bearnese Occitan that cl. has three days that not him (I) see neg
'I haven't seen him for three days'
b. Tot avui <u>que</u> plora (= 25c) Catalan all today that (she/he) cries
'(S)he has been crying all day'

One of the differences between the ModPs in 34b and 35b is that the Fin node in 34b is phonologically realised as <u>que</u> and in 35b is phonologically empty. This fact indicates that the temporal and aspectual properties that these nodes host may also be different. Compare the sentences in 37 and 38.

- (37) a. Hace tres días, vi a Pedro (= 35a)Spanish makes three days I saw to Peter
 'I saw Peter three days ago'
 - b. *Hace tres días, no como makes three days (I) don't eat
 - c. *(Para entonces) hará un mes, tendrás un hijo by then will-be a month (you) will-have a child
- (38) a. Hace tres días que vi a Pedro Spanish makes three days that (I) saw to Peter 'I saw Peter three days ago'
 - b. Hace tres días que no como makes three days that (I) don't eat 'I haven't eaten in three days'
 - c. (Para entonces) hará un mes que tendrás un hijo

by then will-be a month that (you) will-have a child 'By then you will have had a child for a month'

In 37a the constituent in the specifier of ModP is a temporal circumstantial construction, whose internal structure is that postulated in 18b as a PP structure headed by an empty central coincidence preposition. The preposed circumstantial construction in 37a sets a past temporal frame within which the rest of the sentence must be interpreted. Consequently, the tense of the main clause must be a past tense. Note that 37b and 37c are ungrammatical because of the non-past tense of their main clauses. We will assume then that the Fin node in 37, which is empty, manifests past time, and that this property will be shared with the IP selected by it.

In contrast to the circumstantial constructions in 37, in presentational temporal constructions the main clause may be either present, past or future, as shown in 38. I will attribute this fact to the aspectual-temporal properties hosted by the Fin node <u>que</u> selected by Modifier. In other words, the element <u>que</u> is an aspectual-temporal marker. Other cases where <u>que</u> acts as an aspectual-temporal marker are shown in 39.

- (39) a. Tot aquest any (que) ha plogut Catalan all this year that (it) has rained
 'It has rained all year'
 - b. Tot aquest any que (no) plouall this year that not (it) rains'It has/hasn't rained all year'
 - c. *Tot aquest any (no) plou all this year not (it) rains

We can see in 37 and 38 that only when the Fin node is phonologically realised as <u>que</u> can the clause be in present or future tense. What, then, is the role of the constituent in ModP in sentences like 38? The role of the ModP here is to indicate the initial endpoint in the temporal contour of the event described by the sentence, which is called the assertion time by Klein (1995) and Dermidache-Uribe Etxebarria (1998), among others. According to Klein (1995), 'the assertion time is the time for which an assertion is confined, for which the speaker makes a statement.' The main clause <u>no como</u> in 38b is in present tense, a tense with an imperfective aspect. According to Smith (1991:93), imperfective viewpoints focus on stages that are neither initial nor final, excluding endpoints. The role of the ModP in 38b is precisely to fix the initial endpoint of the assertion time of the clause <u>no como</u>.

Traditional Romance grammarians claim that presentational existential constructions express duration or a span, instead of a point in time. However, as shown in 38a, the presentational construction itself does not express duration. In fact, the meaning of duration depends on the aspectual properties of the main clause, on its imperfective aspect. Thus, the meaning of duration —when it is present— is not a property of the existential constituent in the ModP. It is a property of the main clause. The Fin node <u>que</u> replicates the temporal-aspectual properties of the main clause.

In the constructions we are studying, FinP is selected by Mod. Therefore, we have to ask what determines whether a Mod head will select a FinP with an empty or a full head. Actually, the different behavior of the Fin node is a consequence of the structural divergence in temporal existential constructions. As shown in 35b, the structure we have assumed for a temporal circumstantial construction such as that in 35a: hace tres días is a PP structure headed by an empty central coincidence preposition. Because of the past meaning of the existential construction, the main clause related to it through the central coincidence preposition must be a past tense clause.

In contrast to 35b, the internal structure I tentatively assume for temporal presentational constructions is a default structure. The constituent in the specifier position of ModP is not headed by a preposition of central coincidence. Although I cannot offer fully conclusive evidence, it seems reasonable to think that the constituent in the specifier position of Mod is neither a PP nor a ForceP but an IP. Because the temporal presentational construction does not act as a past frame-adverbial, the Mod node is not restricted to selecting a FinP with a past tense feature. As a consequence, the tense of the main clause may be present, past or future, as shown in 38.9 Note that if a temporal presentational construction is a default structure, such as IP, we can easily explain why an interrogative element belonging to the presentational construction can occupy the specifier position in ForceP, as shown in 40, whereas an interrogative element in a temporal circumstantial construction cannot.¹⁰

- (40) a. ¿Cuántos días hace que Pedro se fue? Spanish how many days makes that Peter himself left 'How many days has it been since Peter left?'
 - b. *¿Cuántos días hace Pedro se fue? how many days makes Peter himself left
 - c. [ForceP cuántos días ForceO [ModP [IP hace t] ModO [FinP que [IP Pedro se fue]]]]

4. Concluding remarks. Temporal existential constructions provide an excellent opportunity to study the affinity among the existential constructions in Romance languages, and the split in the complementizer layer (Rizzi 1997, 1999). It has been shown that there are two different temporal existential constructions, namely temporal circumstantial constructions and temporal presentational constructions. Given that some of the syntactic properties shown by temporal presentational constructions are not share by temporal circumstantial constructions, it is essential to draw a distinction between these two forms.

References

Benincà, Paola. 1978. Sono tre ore che ti aspetto. Rivista di Grammatica Generativa. 3. 233-245.

Bosque, Ignacio. 1989. Las categorías gramaticales. Madrid: Síntesis.

Bosque, Ignacio. 1998. Sobre los complementos de medida. Estudios en honor del Profesor Josse De Kock, ed. by N. Delbecque y C. De Paepe. Leuven: Leuven University.

Bosque, Ignacio y Violeta Demonte (ed.) 1999. Gramática descriptiva de la lengua española. Madrid: Espasa Calpe.

Brunot, Ferdinand 1926. La pensée et la langue. Paris: Masson et C^{ie} Editeurs. 1965.

Chomsky, Noam. 1995. The Minimalist Program, Cambridge: The MIT Press.

Cinque, Guglielmo. 1997 Adverbs and Functional Heads. A Cross-Linguistic Perspective. Venezia: Università di Venezia ms.

Corominas, Joan, – José Antonio Pascual. 1987. Diccionario Crítico Etimológico Castellano e Hispánico, Madrid: Gredos.

Dermidache, Hamida, and Myriam Uribe-Etxebarria. 1998. The Syntax of Temporal Relations: a Uniform Approach to Tense and Aspect, ed. by Curtis, E. et al. The Proceedings of the Sixteenth West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics.

Fernández Soriano, Olga. 1999. Two types of impersonal sentences in Spanish: Locative and dative subjects. Syntax 2. 101-140.

Fernández Soriano, Olga y Susana Táboas Baylín. 1999. Construcciones impersonales no reflejas. Bosque, Ignacio y Violeta Demonte (ed.), 1723-1778.

Freeze, Ray. 1992. Existentials and other locatives. Language 68. 553-595.

Guéron, Jacqueline. 1994. On HAVE and BE. Paper presented at NELS 28.

Gómez Torrego, Leonardo. 1999. La variación en las subordinadas sustantivas: Dequeísmo y queísmo. Bosque, Ignacio y Violeta. Demonte (ed.), 2105-2148.

Hale, Kenneth. 1986. Notes on world view and semantic categories: some Walpiri examples. Features and Projections, ed. by Muysken, Peter and Henk van Riemsdijk, 233-254. Dordrecht: Foris.

Hale, Kenneth, and Samuel J. Keyser. 1993. On the Argument Structure and the Lexical Expression of Syntactic Relations. The View from Building 20, ed. by Hale, Kenneth. and Samuel J. Keyser, 53-109. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Hale Kenneth, and Samuel J. Keyser. 1997. On the Complex Nature of Simple Predicators. Complex Predicates. CSLI Lecture Notes, 64, ed. by Alsina, Alex et al., 29-65. Standford: CSLI.

Hale Kenneth, and Samuel J. Keyser. 1998. The Basic Elements of Argument Structure. MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 32. 73-118.

Henry, Albert. 1968. C'était il y a des lunes. Étude de syntaxe française. Paris: Klincksieck.

Hoekstra, Teun. 1992. Aspect and Theta Theory. Thematic Structure: Its Role in Grammar, ed. by Roca, Iggy, 145-174. Dordrecht: Foris.

Hoekstra, Teun. 1994. HAVE as BE Plus or Minus. Paths Towards Universal Grammar. Studies in Honor of Richard S. Kayne, ed. by Cinque, Guglielmo et al., 199-216. Washington: Georgetown University Press.

Jones, Michael Allan. 1993. Sardinian Syntax. London-New York: Routledge.

Kany, Charles E. 1951. American-Spanish Syntax. Chicago: The University Chicago Press.

Kayne, Richard. S. 1993. Toward a Modular Theory of Auxiliary Selection. Studia Linguistica 47. 3-31.

Klein, Wolfgang. 1995. A Time Relational Analysis of Russian Aspect. Language 71. 669-695.

Le Goffic, Pierre. 1993. Grammaire de la Phrase Française. Paris: Hachette.

Longa, Víctor M., Guillermo Lorenzo and Gemma Rigau. 1998. Subject clitics and clitic recycling: Locative sentences in some Iberian Romance languages. Journal of Linguistics 34. 125-164.

Mateu, Jaume, and Gemma Rigau. 1999. Universals of Lexico-Syntactic Typology and Parametric Variation. Paper presented at 1999 GLOW Colloquium.

Parsons, Terence. 1990. Events in the Semantics of English: A Study of Subatomics Semantics. Cambridge: The MIT Press.

Porto Dapena, José Alvaro. 1983. Sobre la expresión <u>Hace tiempo (que)</u>. Serta Philologica Fernándo Lázaro Carreter I, ed. by Emilio Alarcos et al., 485-504. Madrid.

Rigau, Gemma. 1997. Locative Sentences and Related Constructions in Catalan: <u>ésser/haver</u> Alternation. Theoretical Issues at the Morphology-Syntax Interface, ed. by Myriam Uribe-Etxebarria, and Amaya. Mendikoetxea, 395-421. Bilbao/Donostia: UPV.

Rigau, Gemma. 1999. Relatived Impersonality: Deontic Sentences in Catalan. Semantic Issues in Romance Syntax, ed. by Esthela Treviño, and José Lema, 193-230. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Rizzi, Luigi. 1990. Relativized Minimality. Cambridge: The MIT Press.

Rizzi, Luigi. 1997. The Fine Structure of the Left Periphery. Elements of Grammar, ed. by Liliane Haegeman, 281-337. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Rizzi, Luigi. 1999. Some Issues in the Theory of Locality. Paper presented at Issues in the Study of Language: A 'State of the Art' Workshop. Università di Siena.

Sáez, Luís A. 1990. La paradoja de <u>hace-expresión temporal</u>: Una aproximación modular. Revista Argentina de Lingüística 6 . 3-21.

Schroten, Jan .1996. Reference to Places and Times. Recherches de Linguistique Française et Romane d'Utrecht XV. 47-58.

Smith, Carlota. S. 1991. The Parameter of Aspects. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Torrego Esther. 1992. Case and argument Structure. Boston: University of Massachusetts ms.

Torrego, Esther. 1998. The Dependencies of Objects. Cambridge: The MIT Press.

Uriagereka, Juan. 1995. Aspects of the Syntax of Clitic Placement in Western Romance. Linguistic Inquiry 26. 79-123.

- ¹ On the proximity between dative and locative, see Jespersen (1924:XIII), Rigau (1999), and Fernández Soriano (1999), among others.
- ² As a consequence of the incorporation of the abstract preposition into the verb, the host verb acquires case properties. In fact, it is the preposition that triggers the case properties of the verb (See Rigau 1997).
- ³ Due to diachronic evolution, the verb <u>avoir</u> in Romance languages contains a fossilized preposition. Nevertheless, some of them do not use it in locative constructions (only as a possessive predicate and an auxiliary verb). This is the case in Romanian and to a lesser extent Italian and Sardinian. In our analysis, we leave aside existential constructions with verbs other than <u>avoir</u> and <u>hacer</u> (and their equivalents).
- ⁴ Besides the case of the clitic <u>y</u> that coappears with <u>avoir</u> in temporal constructions, French offers other examples of the use of locative elements expressing temporality, like <u>voici</u> or <u>voilà</u>: <u>Voilà dix ans, il est parti</u> (Le Goffic 1993:501).
- ⁵ A similar convention is also used in Longa et al. (1997) to stand for the Romance abstract locative clitic.
- ⁶ The fact that verbs like <u>avoir</u> and <u>faire</u> act exactly like light verbs in temporal constructions is shown in the Sardianian and American Spanish examples given in (i). The constructions in italics show us that the verbs <u>fákere</u> and <u>haber</u> are not necessary under some conditions, namely: when the specifier is a deictic element meaning 'at this time'/'now'. A covert central coincidence preposition heads the phrase, as represented in (ic).
 - (i) a. L'appo accabbatu <u>como tres annos</u> Sardinian (Jones 1993:195) it (I) have finished now three years
 'I finished it three years ago'
 - b. Ahora poco le robaron American Spanish (Kany 1951:221) now a-little him (they) robbed 'He was robbed recently'
 - c. [PP como [P tres annos $\]]\ /\ [PP ahora [P poco <math>\]]$

⁷ Moreover, the clause <u>que ella se licenció</u> in 20b cannot be paraphased as (i), which is ungrammatical: (i) *Ella se licenció tres meses (she herself graduated three months)

- ⁸ Under certain conditions an adjunct headed by <u>desde</u> 'since' may coappear with a temporal existential predicate. Some Spanish speakers accept a sentence like <u>Hace tres meses desde que murió mi padre</u> 'It's been three months since my father died' (from Gómez Torrego (1999:2115), where an adjunct is headed by *desde*, which selects a clause in past tense. However, a sentence like *<u>Hace tiempo desde que no la veo</u> (makes time since that not her (I) see) is ungrammatical.
- ⁹ Nevertheless, some restrictions of 'consecutio temporum' between the ModP and the tense of the main clause may occur, i.e. *Ayer hizo tres días que estará aquí (yesterday made three days that (he) will be here).
- ¹⁰ The hypothesis that temporal presentational constructions are in ModP specifier position instead of being analyzed as the main clause of the sentence is not far from the analysis of Italian temporal presentationals in Benincà (1978), where such constructions as in (i)– are viewed as cleft constructions. Note that Italian forms temporal presentational constructions from the verb <u>essere</u> and the measure NP.
 - (i) É/sono tre ore che ti aspettois/are three hours that (I) you wait'I have been waiting for you for three hours'